670. The Crystal Structure of Potassium Methylenedisulphonate.

By MARY R. TRUTER.

Potassium methylenedisulphonate, $K_2[CH_2(SO_3)_2]$, has been investigated by three-dimensional X-ray crystal-structure analysis. Refinement of the co-ordinates and anisotropic thermal-motion parameters reduced the agreement index to 0.10. The bond lengths in the methylenedisulphonate ion, corrected for rotational oscillations, are $S-C = 1.770 \pm 0.007$ Å, and S-O 1.461 ± 0.005 Å, the mean of three independent values; the S-C-S angle is $119.7^{\circ} \pm 0.7^{\circ}$.

THE approximate stereochemistry of the methylenedisulphonate ion can be deduced from its formula, $CH_2(SO_3)_2^{2-}$, as a tetrahedral carbon atom bonded to two tetrahedral sulphur atoms, the sulphur being in the oxidation state vI. This investigation was undertaken to determine the precise dimensions of the anion for comparison with those in (a) the

hydroxymethanesulphinate ion, $HO \cdot CH_2 \cdot SO_2^-$, in which a tetrahedral carbon atom is bonded to a sulphur atom in the oxidation state IV and (b) the isoelectronic pyrosulphate, $S_2O_7^{2-}$ and iminodisulphonate, $NH(SO_3)_2^{2-}$ ions.

The structure of sodium hydroxymethanesulphinate dihydrate was determined¹ in 1955 by three-dimensional methods with allowance for isotropic thermal motion. To provide results comparable with those in this paper, the structure has been refined anisotropically as described in the following paper.²

In 1955 Jones ³ showed that potassium iminodisulphonate (a = 12.43, b = 7.46, c =7.18 Å, $\beta = 91.2^{\circ}$) and potassium methylenedisulphonate (a = 12.55, b = 7.75, c =7.30 Å, $\beta = 90.5^{\circ}$) have the same space group; this, and the close similarity of the unitcell dimensions, suggest that the compounds are isostructural. Jeffrey and Jones⁴ determined the structure of potassium iminodisulphonate by three-dimensional methods with isotropic refinement; anisotropic refinement has now been carried out by Cruickshank and Jones.⁵ In 1960 Lynton and Truter⁶ showed that potassium pyrosulphate (a =12.35, b = 7.31, c = 7.27 Å, $\beta = 93.1^{\circ}$) was isostructural with the iminodisulphonate ⁴ and published the results of a complete anisotropic refinement.

Crystal Data.—K₂[CH₂(SO₃)₂], M = 252.34. Monoclinic a = 12.556, b = 7.773, c = 7.253all ± 0.005 Å, $\beta = 90^{\circ} 30' \pm 1'$. U = 707.9 Å³, $D_m = 2.37, {}^{1}Z = 4, D_c = 2.369, F(000) = 496.$ Space group C2/c (C_{2h}^{6} No. 15). Cu- K_{α} radiation single-crystal oscillation and Weissenberg photographs, absorption coefficient $\mu = 170.8$ cm⁻¹. The anion must lie with the carbon atom on a two-fold axis or a centre of symmetry.

Refinement.-The similarity between the unit-cell dimensions of potassium pyrosulphate, potassium iminodisulphonate, and potassium methylenedisulphonate suggests that all three compounds are isostructural. Further, the fractional co-ordinates in the pyrosulphate and the iminodisulphonate are very similar. For the vibration parameters, however, lower values were found for the pyrosulphate than for the iminodisulphonate in a ratio of approximately 1:1.8. As the intensities were corrected for absorption in the present work it seemed more likely that the larger values would be more nearly correct. The anisotropy of the thermal motion was approximately the same in both compounds so the first set of struture factors was calculated by using co-ordinates which were the means for those of the other structures and thermal parameters identical with those of the iminodisulphonate. The co-ordinates corresponded to the bond lengths S-O = 1.44 Å, S-C = 1.71 Å, and R was 0.37. Four cycles of refinement reduced R to 0.13. At this stage an extinction correction was applied for planes with sin $\theta < 0.4$, and the hydrogen atom, having an isotropic temperature factor corresponding to a mean-square vibration of 0.04 Å², was inserted in a position chosen to make the H-C-H' plane perpendicular to the S-C-S' plane and the C-H bond length 1.00 Å. In the next cycle of refinement R was 0.11 and there was a reduction in the isotropic temperature factor for hydrogen, indicating that it had been correctly placed. Three further cycles of refinement reduced R to 0.10 and the largest shift was 0.2 of the corresponding standard deviation. Table 1 shows the observed and calculated structure factors.

The corrections for rotational oscillation ? were determined from an analysis 8 of the rigidbody vibrational motion of the anion.

Results.—The structure as a whole is shown in Fig. 1; the carbon atoms lie on the two-fold axes by which the two SO_3^- groups in each anion are related. Figs. 1 and 2 show the numbering of the atoms. The atomic co-ordinates are shown in Table 2 both as fractions and in Å, where X', Y', and Z' refer to orthogonal axes parallel to a, b, and c^* , respectively; the standard deviations are also shown. Table 3 shows the vibration parameters and their standard deviations; U_{11} , U_{22} , and U_{33} are mean-square amplitudes of vibration parallel to

- ⁶ Lynton and Truter, J., 1960, 5112. ⁷ Cruickshank, Acta Cryst., 1961, **14**, 896.
- ⁸ Cruickshank, Acta Cryst., 1956, 9, 754.

¹ Truter, *J.*, 1955, 3064. ² Truter, following paper.

³ Jones, Acta Cryst., 1955, 8, 66.

⁴ Jeffrey and Jones, Acta Cryst., 1956, **9**, 283. ⁵ Cruickshank and Jones, unpublished work.

Observed and calculated structure factors (\times 10). (Reflections which are too weak to be observed have been omitted.)

	$ F_o $	Fc	ı	$ F_o $	Fc	ı	$ F_o $	F_{c}	ı	$ F_o $	Fe	ı	$ F_o $	Fe	<i>`ı</i>	Fol	Fe	ı	$ F_{\alpha} $	F.
	001	-		261	·		601	·	2	459	-425	3	52	39	1	124	-134	3	319	317
2 4	$\frac{415}{812}$	$-353 \\ -808$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$223 \\ 369$	-216 384	$0 \\ 2$	843 998 -	789 	3 4	$122 \\ 175$	$\frac{95}{169}$	4 1	81 191	83 176	2 3	424 293	-449 307	4 5	$\frac{505}{279}$	-502 -254
6	496	473	3	124	-130	4	248	153	5 7	$331 \\ 129$	-292 131	2	342 226	314 221	4 5	846	913		371	
8	400	304	4 6	$\frac{248}{126}$	-223 - 128	8	$\frac{245}{316}$	-270	İ	135	140	ž	112	-92	6	67	67	0	422	-404
	021	1.10	7	$103 \\ 279$	-113	2	424 322	413 - 305	$\frac{2}{3}$	487 378	472 	5	133	106	7	$\frac{110}{170}$	-102 -218	1	590 205	- 567
$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \end{array}$	1061 · 1139	-1218 1418	12	184	-177	Ē	552	-487	4	245 241	-217		12,4,1		Ī	585	-618	3	99	103
2	$\frac{228}{770}$	$199 \\ 832$	$\frac{3}{5}$	107 264	-84 -231	8	259	247	7	205	180	0	97 299	-110 -253	23	1702	171	4 5	$\frac{221}{179}$	$\frac{207}{182}$
4	91	98	6	264	190	0	62l	500		86l		2	289	260	45	$\frac{410}{145}$	401 160	6 T	253 91	-291
5 6	$\frac{753}{381}$	-754 -345		281		1	750	-796	0	143	139	4	37	-42	Ğ	471	-387	12	484	-433
8	$\frac{260}{279}$	-186	0	177	-179	2 3	635 249	$631 \\ 220$	$\frac{1}{2}$	351 97	- 325 - 97	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{109}{310}$	-86 -271		171		34	$\frac{320}{443}$	331 424
•	210	011	12	145	123	4	326	-226	3 4	$\frac{343}{103}$	359 - 112	4	211	190	0	352	327		397	
0	04 <i>l</i> 461	-428	4	160	155	8	226	177	Î	343	-287	9	105	145	2	52 337	327	0	124	-135
ĭ	136	-142		401		$\frac{1}{2}$	291 62	-241 - 79	<u>2</u>	410	399	т	12,6,1	992	3 4	393 484	327 - 465	1	487 95	500
23	$\frac{248}{346}$		02	2126 - 87	-1955 - 21	37	648	716	4	146	-128	$\frac{1}{2}$	60	- 57	5	89	-98	3	260	-310
45	414 605	411 627	4	648	630	5	174	-145		88 <i>l</i>			14,0,1		ĭ	629	-600		51 <i>l</i>	
6	190	-195	8	335	-264	$\frac{6}{7}$	$254 \\ 326$	-225 -287	0 1	36 151	-46 131	0	76	-70	$\frac{2}{3}$	666 61	- 635 60	0	100	-79
8	73	-11	$\frac{2}{4}$	$\begin{array}{c} 868 \\ 1116 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 893 \\ 1160 \end{array}$		641		2	398	443	<u>4</u>	$182 \\ 129$	$146 \\ 107$	4	226	-204	2	397	-354
~	061	0.01	6	444	-426	0	539	-508	$\frac{1}{2}$	315	-285	$\frac{2}{4}$	$\begin{array}{c} 372 \\ 217 \end{array}$	- 359 194	ĕ	180	196	$\frac{3}{4}$	60 84	41 56
1^0	$\frac{342}{128}$	-111	0	172	-120	$\frac{1}{2}$	47 248	-48 238	3	52	-76	-	14.07	101		19/		5	218 326	202
23	$\frac{144}{258}$	-129 243		421		3	373	-381	-	10,0,	ļ	0	14,2,1	98	0	130	138	7	151	-165
4	244	-254	0	749 50	768 52	4 6	$\frac{180}{273}$	-254	$\frac{0}{2}$	$\frac{361}{143}$	$-276 \\ -83$	1	211	185	$\frac{1}{2}$	$134 \\ 134$	$-116 \\ 123$	$\frac{1}{2}$	286 207	-241 199
6	238	209	23	860 683	-888	7	242 986	234 1008	4	237	-226	3	97	-71	3 T	274 558	-310 581	3	$581 \\ 465$	657
	180		4	236	-203	$\frac{1}{2}$	167	-132	2	103	-118	4 1	$\begin{array}{c} 83\\171\end{array}$	$-92 \\ 181$	$\frac{1}{3}$	148	-148	I Cd H	729	-717
0	291	-311	5 6	691 216	-687 199	3 4	449	-128 447	$\frac{4}{6}$	47 68	$^{19}_{-84}$	3	248	-231		31 <i>1</i>		$\frac{7}{8}$	$\frac{213}{253}$	-257 249
0	0,10,	<i>l</i>	8 T	188 1043 ·	134 - 1315	7	156	122		10.2	,	Ŧ	145	-100	0	434	-445		531	
Ū	140	200	2	42	22	~	661	1.50	0	58	-67	0	14,4, <i>l</i> 176	-184	$\frac{1}{2}$	673	798 610	0	694	-656
2	201 2461	2203	4	334	-306	1	185 325	-173 331	$\frac{2}{3}$	$219 \\ 134$	$-161 \\ 100$	1 T	212	-226	3 4	$\frac{227}{200}$	197 193	$\frac{1}{2}$	440 626	420 575
4	56	72	$\frac{5}{7}$	$\frac{596}{136}$	-132	2 3	243 73	$-221 \\ 57$	4	286	272	$\frac{1}{2}$	192	106	5	329	-313	3 4	$591 \\ 368$	593 368
8	580 580	621 459	8	138	117	4	107	103	į	71	-62		16.0.1		7	270	-155 -226	6	293	-376
$\frac{2}{4}$	$1785 \\ 620$	-1797 555		44 l		$\frac{1}{2}$	237	183	$\frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{208}{143}$	-191 -147	0	211	-237	8	$125 \\ 629$	-116 - 694	1	303 299	-239 -282
6	864	816	0	383	360	3	118	-116	45	$379 \\ 187$	$\frac{394}{211}$		111		22	683	705	$\frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{416}{303}$	$-362 \\ 275$
0	351	- 330	2	345	321		681		Ğ	79	-92	1	₫ 365	402	ž	150	154	4	542	504
•	221	407	3 4	$\frac{36}{332}$	-452	$^{0}_{1}$	244 63	294 67		10,4,	ı	2 3	74 507	-524	56	$394 \\ 267$	376 - 241	7	205	-178
ĩ	$\frac{582}{951}$	1113	5	594 134	654 136	2	38 25	26 	0	335	$295 \\ 79$	4	312	-320	7	$100 \\ 103$	-325	8	213	- 328
2 3	837 867	$-822 \\ -951$	Ĩ	275	231	4	146	-284	$\frac{1}{2}$	82	-51^{72}	7	223	-141	9	110	-124		551	
4	329	284	$\frac{2}{3}$	433 484	-412 468	$\frac{1}{2}$	197 79	-91	3 4	$150 \\ 301$	128 - 298	8 1	$\begin{array}{c} 162 \\ 719 \end{array}$	143 		331		$^{0}_{1}$	$648 \\ 524$	533 502
6	301	271	45	78 131	-77 -136	34	$\frac{107}{281}$	90 	6	97	118	23	56 303	-52	0	670	721	23	$\frac{203}{270}$	188 - 227
7 8	$\frac{435}{285}$	$-418 \\ -212$	6	336	370	•		000	12	195	-136	³	356	287	$\frac{1}{2}$	$1072 \\ 58$	$1199 \\ 56$	4	492	-485
9 T	88 967	-79		461		0	80/ 218	147	3 4	$\frac{114}{326}$	$-92 \\ -329$	5 6	$231 \\ 336$	189 334	3 ∡	361 306	-314	$\frac{1}{2}$	169 579	-498
12	213	-167	0	413	-381	2	376	-332	56	$290 \\ 181$	$256 \\ 194$	89	77 104	-118 -74	5	335	-333	34	472 136	-427 -103
$\frac{3}{4}$	1418 206	-1550 -169	12	57 299	-42 290	4 8	$\frac{263}{160}$	-206 127	0	101		0	104		6 7	$\frac{125}{236}$	-110 179	-	100 E71	100
67	496 332	-456 376	3 4	$259 \\ 184$	-273 187	$\frac{2}{4}$	619 459	-589 -392	1	10,6, 424	ι 	0	131	_493	8	83 182	60 	0	110	-130
8	205	147	5	179	-151	Ê	159	153	2	117	105	ĩ	157	-177	2	810	-797	1	112	-107
9	104	-97	7	$113 \\ 125$	$-89 \\ 155$		821		4	155 64	-148 -83	23	286 479	-275 -494	3 4	43 104	-36 -122	3	205	-214
	241		12	$136 \\ 62$	$122 \\ 61$	0	176	-166	$\frac{1}{3}$	609 92	476 89	45	372 386	-338	56	$\frac{315}{236}$	294 218	4 1	$276 \\ 394$	322 330
$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \end{array}$	301 50	295 34	18	539	-572	3	260	-220	Ĭ	169	-140	7	438	341	7	343	242	22	449 81	399 76
23	451 880	-448 995	45	120	-118	4 5	$\frac{249}{216}$	$\frac{221}{196}$		12,0,	ı	ĩ	67 557	35 644		35 <i>l</i>		0		-10
4	94	101	6	83	-88	<u>1</u>	470 329	446 321	02	$325 \\ 114$	300 31	$\frac{2}{3}$	87 296	87 	0	415 494	413 410	1	59l 92	91
7	280	200 -235		481		3	280	-272	4	107	-54	Ĭ	298	-243	2	448	-487	2	99	-95
8 1	$123 \\ 347$	-119 -338	0 2	$129 \\ 265$	-134 -276	5	172	-197	$\frac{2}{4}$	126	-219 -137	56	95	-101	3 4	$\frac{274}{191}$	-281 -177	$\frac{1}{2}$	105	105
2	620 61 9	630	4 ĸ	78 91	94	6	108	98		12.2	ı	$\frac{7}{8}$	$\frac{429}{117}$	$328 \\ 111$	5 6	187 409	$172 \\ 388$		711	
ž	413	-393	100	257	249	~	841		0	57	84		157		ž	98	-68	0	403	350
8	341 226	$-296 \\ 227$	3 4	201 248	-235	1	201 496	-165 468	1 2	125	-107 -119		243	-236	2	576 674	650	3	844	- 960

								TABL	е 1	. (C	Contin	ued	.)							
4 5 7	$ F_o \\ 511 \\ 219 \\ 155$	F_c -519 254 206	6	$ F_o $ 419 – 751	Fe -457]. 2 3 4	F _o 573 143 78	F_c 516 -106 68	0	F _o 951 436 358	F_c - 362 - 332	$\frac{l}{\frac{1}{2}}$	F ₀ 563 286 352	$F_c = 572 \\ 296 \\ 365$	34	F ₀ 338 92	Fc -174 85	3 4	$ F_o \ 125 \ 362$	Fc 84 365
81233457	$131 \\ 461 \\ 415 \\ 444 \\ 102 \\ 521 \\ 250 \\ 350 $	$146 \\ 453 \\ 381 \\ -438 \\ -101 \\ -601 \\ 404$	0 1 2 3 4 T	114 93 120 248 72 682 -	-88 61 95 241 58 -573		294 187 128 110 167 667	-389 -267 142 -84 -138 -740	234123	81 55 62 133 60 427	-67 37 73 98 -24 394	5 6 0 1	309 232 11,3, 402 154	328 -234 ,l 387 73	0 1 0	11,7, 83 81 13,1, 260	l 108 -57 l 246	$0 \\ \frac{2}{1} \\ \frac{1}{2}$	13,5, 91 202 244 93	l = 95 = -212 = -174 = 84
6 7	352 321 731	404 351	124	639 - 207 771	-519 192		257 382 188 93/	$253 \\ 469 \\ 213$	4 0 1	72 97 <i>l</i> 135 57	61 120 67	24123	$ \begin{array}{r} 356 \\ 242 \\ 130 \\ 553 \\ 45 \end{array} $	$351 \\ -240 \\ -94 \\ -552 \\ 8$	1 2 3 4 5	$254 \\ 174 \\ 64 \\ 151 \\ 224$	$ \begin{array}{r} 223 \\ 328 \\ -212 \\ 61 \\ -185 \\ 326 \end{array} $	0 1 2 3	15,1, 79 197 167 176	l 91 56 215
1 2 3 4 5	451 270 102 407	-372 -406 -264 70 405 263		245 174 37 140 - 77	$255 \\ 170 \\ -26 \\ -138 \\ 65 \\ 000$		883 171 420 290	$852 \\ 139 \\ -364 \\ -268 \\ 175 $	21234	$32 \\ 100 \\ 133 \\ 114 \\ 140$	45 - 22 - 116 - 74 - 74 - 151	46	67 430 11,5,	-61 457 1	1345	126 501 219 201	$84 \\ 512 \\ -216 \\ -240$	123	254 139 103	364 138 94
68 <u>12</u> 34	172 98 102 791 283 233	$ \begin{array}{r} 203 \\ 170 \\ -160 \\ 74 \\ 985 \\ 235 \\ 206 \\ \end{array} $	3 4 0 1	227 - 157 - 011 405 - 707	- 208 - 150 - 362 750		324 51 331 196 174 324	-175 335 51 294 150 -175 335	0 2 3 4	11,1,1,1 378 244 620 216	-400 -202 657 232	$ \begin{array}{c} 3 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{array} $	136 88 190 46 317 68	$ \begin{array}{r} -103 \\ 127 \\ -82 \\ -170 \\ 48 \\ 257 \\ 58 \\ \end{array} $	0 2 3 4 2	13,3, 429 320 159 99 87	$l = -405 \\ -284 \\ -126 \\ 94 \\ -71$	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \\ \frac{2}{1} \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{array} $	120 117 105 108 162	-145 145 -148 -71 219

the a^{*-} , b^{*-} , and c^{*-} axes, respectively, and, with U_{12} , U_{23} , and U_{13} , define the magnitude and orientation of the ellipsoid of vibration with respect to the crystallographic axes.

An analysis of the vibrational motion of the anion, assumed to be a rigid body, was carried out. First, the position of its mass centre and the direction cosines of the axes of inertia were determined; they are shown in Fig. 2; then, two ellipsoids were calculated, one for the translatory vibrations and one for the rotational oscillations. The directions of the principal axes of these ellipsoids and the corresponding root-mean-square (r.m.s.) amplitudes of vibration



FIG. 1. The structure projected along [001]. Large circles represent potassium ions, medium circles sulphur atoms, small circles oxygen atoms, and the hydrogen atoms are situated at the ends of the short lines. Atoms which lie between z/c = 0 and $z/c = \frac{1}{2}$ are shown as single circles and those between $z/c = \frac{1}{2}$ and z/c = 1 as double circles with the exception that O(1) is just on the other side of the boundary. The numbers are distances in Å between the atoms indicated by the dotted lines.

are shown in Fig. 2. It can be seen that the ellipsoids have different orientations and neither coincides with the axes of inertia. However, the direction of maximum rotational oscillation is found to be fairly close to the axis, I, with the minimum moment of inertia. Cruickshank's revised procedure ⁷ was used to calculate the corrections in the atomic co-ordinates required for rotational oscillation; the maximum correction in any one co-ordinate was 0.0065 Å. The revised co-ordinates with respect to the orthogonal system are shown in Table 4.



FIG. 2. A methylenedisulphonate ion viewed (a) along the *c*-axis and (b) down the *b*-axis of the crystal. The mass centre is marked \times . The directions of the axes of inertia (I, II, and III in order of increasing moment of inertia) are shown by full lines, and in (b) the orientation of the crystallographic axes is indicated by broken lines. The direction of the principal axes of the ellipsoid of translational vibration are shown by \checkmark with the corresponding r.m.s. amplitudes in Å. The directions of the principal axes of rotation are indicated by the curved arrows and the r.m.s. amplitudes of oscillation are given in degrees.

TABLE 2.

Atomic co-ordinates.

	x a	y/b	z/c	X' (Å)	$\sigma(X)$ (Å)	Y' (Å)	$\sigma(Y)$ (Å)	Z' (Å)	$\sigma(Z)$ (Å)
к	0.152	0.132	-0.146	1.912	0.002	1.026	0.002	-1.060	0.002
S	0.396	-0.194	0.140	4.962	0.002	-1.502	0.002	1.013	0.002
O(1)	0.443	-0.292	-0.008	5.568	0.007	-2.290	0.008	-0.065	0.008
O(2)	0.327	-0.052	0.075	$4 \cdot 105$	0.007	-0.445	0.008	0.545	0.009
O(3)	0.347	-0.300	0.281	4.339	0.008	-2.334	0.008	2.039	0.009
С	0.500	-0.080	0.250	6.262		-0.625	0·01 3	1.813	
н	0.536	-0.004	0.120	6.71	0.12	-0.03	0.14	1.09	0.12

TABLE 3.

Vibration parameters (all units are 10^{-3} Å²).

	U_{11}	σ	U_{22}	σ	U_{33}	σ	U_{12}	σ	U_{23}	σ	U_{13}	σ
K	35	1	35	1	31	1	3	1	-5	1	-8	1
S	27	1	19	1	31	1	0	1	1	1	-6	1
O(1)	39	4	32	4	46	5	-2	3	-10	3	2	3
O(2)	27	3	39	4	53	5	-6	3	13	4	0	3
O(3)	41	4	32	4	50	5	8	3	3	3	-5	3
C	27	6	22	6	42	8	0		0		-8	5
н	Isotrop	ic $\bar{U} =$	30									

TABLE 4.

Atomic co-	ordinates of	the anion con	rrected for ro	tational osci	llation (all in	A).
	S	O(1)	O(2)	O(3)	С	н
X'	4.959	5.568	4.099	4.333	6.262	6.716
Y'	-1.505	-2.294	-0.439	-2.340	-0.616	-0.020
Z'	1.010	-0.070	0.541	2.041	1.813	1.086

This treatment differs from that published for the pyrosulphate ion in which the vibrations with respect only to the axes of inertia were determined. For comparison with the present results the additional calculations have been carried out according to Cruickshank's revised procedure. For the pyrosulphate ion the principal axes of both ellipsoids are nearer the axes of inertia than in the methylenedisulphonate; for rotational oscillation the axis of maximum vibration makes an angle of 2° with I and the r.m.s. amplitude is $6\cdot4^{\circ}$ while the axis of minimum vibration is along III, the 2-fold axis of the ion, and the r.m.s. amplitude is $2\cdot8^{\circ}$. There is no significant difference between these amplitudes of rotational oscillation and those for the methylenedisulphonate ion. For translatory motion the maximum r.m.s. amplitude of vibration is $0\cdot11$ Å in a direction at 17° to I and the minimum is again along III with an r.m.s. amplitude of $0\cdot08$ Å. These values are smaller than the corresponding ones in potassium methylenedisulphonate probably because no absorption correction was applied to the observations on potassium pyrosulphate. Revision of the co-ordinate correction increases the average S-O bond length from $1\cdot437$ to $1\cdot440$ Å but the S-O- bond length ($1\cdot645$ Å) remains unchanged.

Interatomic Distances and Angles.—Table 5 shows the bond lengths and bond angles, and their standard deviations, together with the mean length of the chemically indistinguishable bonds; these values have been calculated from the corrected co-ordinates given by the computer

TABLE 5.

Bond lengths (Å) and angles in the methylenedisulphonate ion.

S-O(1) S-O(2) S-O(3) S-C C-H	$ \left. \begin{array}{c} 1 \cdot 470 \pm 0 \cdot 009 \\ 1 \cdot 448 \pm 0 \cdot 008 \\ 1 \cdot 467 \pm 0 \cdot 009 \\ 1 \cdot 770 \pm 0 \cdot 007 \\ 1 \cdot 04 \pm 0 \cdot 14 \end{array} \right\} 1 \cdot 461 \pm 0 \cdot 005 \text{ \AA} $	$\begin{array}{c} O(1)-S-O(2)\\ O(1)-S-O(3)\\ O(2)-S-O(3)\\ C-S-O(1)\\ C-S-O(2)\\ C-S-O(2)\\ C-S-O(3)\\ S-C-S\\ H-C-S\\ H-C-S\\ H-C-H \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c} 113 \cdot 8^{\circ} \\ 112 \cdot 8 \\ 113 \cdot 2 \\ 107 \cdot 3 \\ 106 \cdot 3 \\ 119 \cdot 7 \pm 0 \cdot 7 \\ 107 \pm 8 \\ 110 \cdot 7 \pm 0 \cdot 7 \\ 107 \pm 8 \\ 110 + 10 \end{array} $
		II O II	± 10

to four decimal places, not from the rounded-off values in Table 4. In Fig. 1 the distances of less than 3.5 Å from a potassium ion to its neighbours are shown together with the distances of less than 3.0 Å from a hydrogen atom to atoms in the nearest anion.

Discussion.—Some months before this refinement was completed Dr. D. W. J. Cruickshank predicted the bond lengths "C-S at least 1.76 Å and S-O 1.43—1.46 Å." He has now published ⁹ his theoretical treatment of XO_4^{n-} and related ions and his essentially correct prediction lends support to his theory. According to Cruickshank there is π -bonding between *d*-orbitals on sulphur and the *p*-orbitals on nitrogen or oxygen in the iminodisulphonate or pyrosulphate ions: in both ions the π -bond order is 3/15 so that the S-N and S-O bond lengths 1.662 and 1.645 Å represent partial double bonds. In the methylenedisulphonate ion no π -bonding is possible to the carbon atom, so that the S-C bond lengths is deferred to the following paper on sodium hydroxymethane-sulphinate.

As is usual in $Y-SO_3^-$ groups electrostatic repulsion between the charged oxygen atoms causes the bond angles round sulphur to differ from those of a regular tetrahedron, the O-S-O angles being larger and the O-S-C angles smaller. The S-C-S angle is significantly larger than the tetrahedral angle or the H₂C \leq angle (113°) found in paraffins. A similar but smaller increase in angle from the ideal of 120° is found for S-N-S (5.5°) and S-O-S in the iminodisulphonate and pyrosulphate ions.

Fig. 1 shows that the potassium ion is surrounded by nine oxygen atoms with distances

⁹ Cruickshank, J., 1961, 5486.

ranging from 2.70 to 3.35 Å. The corresponding distances in potassium iminodisulphonate and potassium pyrosulphate are shown:

Comparison of interionic distances (all in Å).

		-								Mean
K ₂ S ₂ O ₇	2.84	$2 \cdot 80$	3.22	3.05	2.76	3 ·10	2.76	2.81	2.71	2.89
NH(SO ₃) ₂ K ₂	2.95	2.82	3.05	3 ·00	2.71	3.23	2.75	2.83	2.70	2.89
$CH_{2}(SO_{3})_{2}K_{2}$	3 ∙ 3 5	2.83	3.06	3.12	2.75	3.09	2.81	2.86	2.70	2.96

As the standard deviation is 0.01 Å, some of the differences in these distances are significant. The individual variations are consistent with longer central bonds and smaller central angles in the methylenedisulphonate anions and with the larger relative displacement of the anions along the *b*-axis to accommodate the hydrogen atoms. The greater volume of the anion itself gives rise to the observed larger volume for the unit cell in the methylenedisulphonate; the ratios of the volumes of the three unit cells is 1:1:1.08, and the main difference in the unit cell dimensions (given in the introduction) is a larger value of b as the number of hydrogen atoms increases.

Experimental.—The crystals were supplied by Dr. D. W. Jones and were part of the sample he prepared for the preliminary investigation.³

The unit-cell dimensions were determined by the Straumanis method. Equi-inclination photographs were taken about the three principal axes from the zero to the fourth layer lines inclusive. For photographs about the [a]- and [c]-axes the crystals used were equi-dimensional and of side 0.15 mm., while for photographs about [b] a crystal of side 0.4 mm. was used. The absorption correction appropriate to spherical crystals was applied to the observations about each axis. Of the 810 possible reflections 643 gave measurable intensities. A multiple-film method was used and intensities estimated visually with the aid of a calibration strip. Lorentz and polarisation corrections were applied with a computer programme written by Dr. F. M. Lovell. The intensities were correlated and their square roots extracted to give a set of relative structure amplitudes which were scaled approximately by comparison with the corresponding values for the isostructural potassium pyrosulphate. During refinement the scale factor was one of the parameters. An empirical extinction correction $I_{t} = I_{obs} \exp (\alpha I_{calc})$ was applied after four cycles of refinement and α was found to be 2.65×10^{-4} .

The scattering factors used were the corrected values of Tomile and Stam ¹⁰ for S, those of

Berghuis *et al.*¹¹ for C, O, and K⁺ and the expression $1/(1 + \frac{4\pi^2 \sin^2\theta}{\lambda^2})^2$ for hydrogen. Refine-

ment was carried out by the method of least squares on the Leeds University Ferranti Pegasus computer with programmes ¹² devised by Dr. D. W. J. Cruickshank and Miss D. E. Pilling.

The function minimised was $R = \Sigma w \left(|F_o| - |F_c| \right)^2$ where the weighting factor w was $1/|F_o|$.

Analysis of the thermal motion and calculation of the corrections for rotational oscillation were carried out on the Pegasus computer with programmes written by Dr. Cruickshank and Mr. Bujosa.12

I am grateful to Mr. D. Holey for taking the photographs, to Mrs. P. M. E. Currie for estimating the intensities of those taken about one of the axes, to my colleagues for the use of their programmes,¹² and to the Director of the Leeds University Computing Laboratory for the use of the computing facilities. Some of the equipment was provided by the Royal Society and Imperial Chemical Industries Limited.

DEPARTMENT OF INORGANIC & STRUCTURAL CHEMISTRY, THE UNIVERSITY, LEEDS, 2.

[Received, March 15th, 1962.]

¹⁰ Tomiie and Stam, Acta Cryst., 1958, 11, 126.

¹¹ Berghuis, Haanappel, Potters, Loopstra, MacGillavry, and Veenendaal, Acta Cryst., 1955, 8, 478. ¹² Cruickshank, Pilling, and, in part, Bujosa, Lovell, and Truter, "Computing Methods and the Phase Problem in X-ray Crystal Analysis," Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1961.